

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ARONDREI HAROLD ABEL-BEY,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 ROBERT NEUSCHMID,

15 Respondent.  
16

No. 2:20-cv-0041 JAM CKD P

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas  
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On August 19, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein  
21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to  
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed  
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this  
25 court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the  
26 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper  
27 analysis.

28 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed August 19, 2020, are adopted in full;
2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 12) is granted;
3. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is dismissed as time-barred; and
4. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

DATED: October 13, 2020

/s/ John A. Mendez

---

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE